arXiv:1605.08853v1 [math.DG] 28 May 2016

A SIMONS' TYPE FORMULA FOR CMC SURFACES IN HOMOGENEOUS 3-MANIFOLDS

NINGWEI CUI

Abstract. In this paper, we give a Simons' type formula for the cmc surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds $E(\kappa,\tau)$, $\tau\neq 0$. As an application, we give a rigidity result in the case of $\kappa>4\tau^2$ for the cmc surfaces under a pinching assumption of the second fundamental form.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the simply connected 3-dimensional homogeneous Riemannian manifolds have the isometry groups of dimension 3, 4 and 6. When the dimension of the isometry group is 6, then we have a space form. When the dimension of the isometry group is 3, then the manifold has the geometry of the Lie group Sol_3. We denote $E(\kappa,\tau)$, $\kappa\neq 4\tau^2$, as the homogeneous 3-manifolds whose isometry groups are of dimension 4, which are fibrations over 2-dimensional simply connected space forms $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ of constant curvature κ. In other words, there exists a Riemannian submersion $\Pi: E(\kappa,\tau)\to\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$, where the constant number τ is the bundle curvature. The fibers are geodesics and there exists a one-parameter family of translations along the fibers, generated by a unit Killing vector field ξ. When $\tau=0$, we get a product manifold $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)\times\mathbb{R}$. When $\tau\neq 0$, the manifolds are of three types: the Berger sphere $\mathbb{S}^3_{\kappa,\tau}$ ($\kappa>0$), the Heisenberg Group Nil_3 ($\kappa=0$) and $\widetilde{PSL_2}$ ($\kappa<0$). The so called *Hopf cylinder* is defined as the preimage $\Pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ of a regular closed curve γ in $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$. When considering $\mathbb{S}^3_{\kappa,\tau}$ and $\widetilde{PSL_2}$ (the fibers are circles), the corresponding Hopf cylinder is also called the *Hopf torus*. For more details on $E(\kappa,\tau)$, we refer to [10]. The surface with constant mean curvature H is called the cmc H-surface. There are a lot of researches on the geometry of $E(\kappa,\tau)$, for instance ([1], [2], [4], [5], [9-11], [13], [17-21]), among others. A study on the cmc H-surfaces in more general spaces can be found in [14].

In this paper we focus on $E(\kappa,\tau)$, $\tau\neq 0$, and we get a Simons' type formula for cmc H-surfaces which can be stated as follows. Notice that the method used in this paper is elementary.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f: M\to E(\kappa,\tau)$ ($\tau\neq 0$) be an immersion of a compact cmc H-surface. Denote $\Phi:=A-HI$ and the angle function by $C:=\langle\xi,N\rangle$, where N is a unit normal vector field on M and A is the second fundamental form. Then it holds the Simons' type formula*

$$\int_M\Big\{|\Phi|^4-[2(H^2+\tau^2)+(\kappa-4\tau^2)(5C^2-1)]|\Phi|^2+2(\kappa-4\tau^2)(H^2+\tau^2)(3C^2-1)\Big\}d\sigma\geq 0, \tag{1}$$

where the equality holds if and only of f is of parallel second fundamental form, and in this case M is a Hopf cylinder with $|A|^2=2(2H^2+\tau^2)$.

Mathematics subject classification: Primary 53C24; Secondary 53C20, 53C42.

Key words and phrases: Homogeneous manifold, cmc surfaces, Hopf cylinder, Hopf torus, Berger sphere, contact angle, Simons' formula.

This work is partially supported by NSFC (No. 11401490).

Remark 1.2. *It is well known that the compact minimal immersed surface M in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ satisfies the Simons' integral inequality*

$$\int_M |A|^2(|A|^2-2)d\sigma \geq 0,$$

where the equality holds if and only of M is the great 2-sphere or the Clifford torus ([7]).

Remark 1.3. *For the minimal surface in $E(\kappa,\tau)$ ($\tau\neq 0$), $H=0$, the corresponding Simons' type formula was recently given in* [13].

2. Preliminaries

For an immersed surface M in $E(\kappa,\tau)$, define the *contact angle* $\beta(p)$ at $p\in M$ by the angle between the vector $\xi(p)$ and the tangent space T_pM. By definition, the contact function $C=\sin\beta$. Since the distribution $\langle\xi\rangle^\perp$ in $E(\kappa,\tau)$ is not integrable (see [3]), we consider the set $\mathcal{W}=\{p\in M:\beta(p)\neq\pm\frac{\pi}{2}\}\subset M$, whose complementary $\mathcal{W}^C=\{p\in M:\beta(p)=\pm\frac{\pi}{2}\}$ has empty interior by Frobenius Theorem. In this paper we will work by making use of the method of moving frames on $\mathcal{W}$ and then extend the formulas to the whole surface by the continuity.

2.1. Adapted frame.

In this section we introduce the method of choosing an appropriate orthonomal frame $\{e_1,e_2,e_3\}$ on $\mathcal{W}\subset M$ to study its geometry. It is well known that $E(\kappa,\tau)$ admits an orthonormal frame $\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}$ with $f_3=\xi$, satisfying (see [10])

$$[f_1,f_2]=-2\tau f_3,\quad [f_2,f_3]=-\kappa/(2\tau)f_1\quad\text{and}\quad [f_3,f_1]=-\kappa/(2\tau)f_2.$$

It is straightforward to verify that the coframe $\{w^1,w^2,w^3\}$ of $\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}$ satisfies

$$dw^1=\kappa/(2\tau)w^2\wedge w^3,\quad dw^2=\kappa/(2\tau)w^3\wedge w^1\quad\text{and}\quad dw^3=2\tau w^1\wedge w^2,$$

and the Levi-Civita connection 1-forms are given by

$$w_2^1=(\kappa/\tau-\tau)w^3,\quad w_3^1=-\tau w^2\quad\text{and}\quad w_3^2=\tau w^1.$$

An interesting observation (see Lemma 1 in [8]) is that, if one rotates f_1 and f_2 but leaves f_3 fixed, the relation of w_3^1 and w_3^2 will not change (w_2^1 may change). Thus, the connection 1-forms can be summarized as

$$w_2^1,\quad w_3^1=-\tau w^2\quad\text{and}\quad w_3^2=\tau w^1. \tag{2}$$

If one studies a surface M isometrically immersed in $E(\kappa,\tau)$ one can firstly choose $\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}$ by rotating f_1,f_2 and leaving f_3 fixed at $p\in\mathcal{W}$ such that $f_1\in T_pM$, and then choose the local orthonormal frame $\{e_1,e_2,e_3\}$, called the *adapted frame*, where

$$e_1=f_1,\quad e_2=\sin\beta f_2+\cos\beta f_3\quad\text{and}\quad e_3=-\cos\beta f_2+\sin\beta f_3, \tag{3}$$

so that e_1 and e_2 are tangent to the surface. This frame was firstly introduced for $\mathbb{S}^3$ in [12] and for $E(\kappa,\tau)$ in [8].

2.2. **Structure equations.** In this section we study the structure equations under the adapted frame in the open set $\mathcal{W} \subset M$ in $E(\kappa,\tau)$. The corresponding coframe $\{\theta^1,\theta^2,\theta^3\}$ is given by

$$\theta^1 = w^1, \quad \theta^2 = \sin\beta w^2 + \cos\beta w^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^3 = -\cos\beta w^2 + \sin\beta w^3. \tag{4}$$

The structure equations of $E(\kappa,\tau)$ are given by

$$\bar{\Omega}^A_B = d\theta^A_B + \theta^A_C \wedge \theta^C_B \quad \text{and} \quad d\theta^A = -\theta^A_B \wedge \theta^B, \ \text{ with } \ \theta^A_B + \theta^B_A = 0.$$

Here and from now on, we assume $1 \leq A, B, \ldots \leq 3$, $1 \leq i, j, \ldots \leq 2$ and we will use the Einstein summation convention. Since, when restricted to M, $\theta^3 = 0$ we get that $\theta^3_i \wedge \theta^i = 0$. The Cartan's lemma thus implies $\theta^3_i = h_{ij}\theta^j$ with smooth functions $h_{ij} = h_{ji}$. We now immediately deduce the structure equations of M

$$d\theta^i = -\theta^i_j \wedge \theta^j, \ \text{ with } \ \theta^i_j + \theta^j_i = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \Omega^i_j := d\theta^i_j + \theta^i_k \wedge \theta^k_j = \bar{\Omega}^i_j - \theta^i_3 \wedge \theta^3_j, \tag{5}$$

where the last equation is called the *Gauss equation*. For $A = 3$ and $B = i$ one gets the *Codazzi equations*:

$$\bar{\Omega}^3_i = d\theta^3_i + \theta^3_k \wedge \theta^k_i = d(h_{ij}\theta^j) + h_{kj}\theta^j \wedge \theta^k_i = h_{ij|k}\theta^k \wedge \theta^j, \tag{6}$$

where

$$h_{ij|k}\theta^k := dh_{ij} - h_{kj}\theta^k_i - h_{ik}\theta^k_j. \tag{7}$$

By using the classical notation $\bar{\Omega}^A_B = \frac{1}{2}\bar{R}^A_{BCD}\theta^C \wedge \theta^D$ and $\Omega^i_j = \frac{1}{2}R^i_{jkl}\theta^k \wedge \theta^l$ we get that the Gauss equation (5) and Codazzi equation (6) are respectively given by

$$R^i_{jkl} = \bar{R}^i_{jkl} + h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{ij}h_{kl},$$

$$h_{ij|k} - h_{ik|j} = -\bar{R}^3_{ijk}.$$

Throughout this paper, for simplicity of notation we denote $\beta_i = e_i(\beta)$, $\beta_{ij} = e_j e_i(\beta)$ and $\beta_{ijk} = e_k e_j e_i(\beta)$. The following lemma was actually proved in [8], that gives us the Levi-Civita connection on the surface M and the second fundamental form under the adapted frame. For the readers' convenience we give a proof here.

Lemma 2.1. *([8]) Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection on M. Then*

$$\nabla_{e_1}e_1 = -(2H + \beta_2)\tan\beta e_2, \qquad \nabla_{e_2}e_2 = -(2\tau + \beta_1)\tan\beta e_1,$$

$$\nabla_{e_2}e_1 = (\beta_1 + 2\tau)\tan\beta e_2 \quad \textit{and} \quad \nabla_{e_1}e_2 = (2H + \beta_2)\tan\beta e_1, \tag{8}$$

where $H := (h_{11} + h_{22})/2$ is the mean curvature. Moreover, the coefficients of the second fundamental form of M are given by

$$h_{11} = 2H + \beta_2, \quad h_{12} = h_{21} = -\tau - \beta_1 \quad \textit{and} \quad h_{22} = -\beta_2. \tag{9}$$

Also, we have the relation

$$\cos\beta w^1_2(e_1) = 2H + \beta_2. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Since $\theta^3 = 0$ on M we have

$$\cos\beta w^2 = \sin\beta w^3,$$

which together with the expression (4) for θ^2 gives us

$$\theta^1 = w^1, \quad \cos\beta\theta^2 = w^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \sin\beta\theta^2 = w^2. \tag{11}$$

So, from equations (2) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^1 &= dw^1 = -w_2^1\wedge w^2 - w_3^1\wedge w^3 = -\sin\beta w_2^1\wedge\theta^2,\\ d\theta^2 &= \sin\beta dw^2 + \cos\beta dw^3 = \sin\beta(w_2^1 - \tau\cos\beta\theta^2)\wedge\theta^1,\\ d\theta^3 &= d\beta\wedge\theta^2 - \cos\beta dw^2 + \sin\beta dw^3 = d\beta\wedge\theta^2 + \cos\beta w_1^2\wedge\theta^1 + \tau(1+\sin^2\beta)\theta^1\wedge\theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\theta_2^1 = \sin\beta(w_2^1 - \tau\cos\beta\theta^2), \quad \cos\beta w_2^1(e_2) = -\beta_1 - \tau(1+\sin^2\beta), \tag{12}$$

and thus

$$\nabla_{e_1}e_1 = \theta_1^2(e_1)e_2 = -\sin\beta w_2^1(e_1)e_2, \qquad \nabla_{e_2}e_2 = \theta_2^1(e_2)e_1 = -(2\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta e_1,$$

$$\nabla_{e_2}e_1 = \theta_1^2(e_2)e_2 = (2\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta e_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{e_1}e_2 = \theta_2^1(e_1)e_1 = \sin\beta w_2^1(e_1)e_1. \tag{13}$$

Now, using equations (2), (3) and (11) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}e_3 &= \bar{D}(-\cos\beta f_2 + \sin\beta f_3)\\ &= \sin\beta d\beta f_2 - \cos\beta(w_2^1 f_1 + w_2^3 f_3) + \cos\beta d\beta f_3 + \sin\beta(w_3^1 f_1 + w_3^2 f_2)\\ &= (-\cos\beta w_2^1 - \tau\sin^2\beta\theta^2)e_1 + (d\beta + \tau\theta^1)e_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{D}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $E(\kappa,\tau)$. On the other hand, $\bar{D}e_3 = \theta_3^1 e_1 + \theta_3^2 e_2$, whence

$$\theta_3^1 = -\cos\beta w_2^1 - \tau\sin^2\beta\theta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_3^2 = d\beta + \tau\theta^1.$$

Hence, from the second equation of (12) and $\theta_i^3 = h_{ij}\theta^j$, we get

$$h_{11} = \cos\beta w_2^1(e_1), \quad h_{12} = \cos\beta w_2^1(e_2) + \tau\sin^2\beta = -\tau - \beta_1 \ \text{ and } \ h_{22} = -\beta_2. \tag{14}$$

Since by the definition $2H = h_{11} + h_{22}$, we get (10) and then (8) and (9) follow from (10), (13) and (14) immediately. □

By using the formula of the Riemannian curvature tensor in [10], one can obtain the Codazzi equations under the adapted frame. See the proof in [8].

Lemma 2.2. *([8]) The Codazzi equations under the adapted frame are given by*

$$\beta_1 w_2^1(e_1)\cos\beta = \beta_2(2\tau+\beta_1) \tag{15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \cos\beta e_2(w_2^1(e_1)) + \sin\beta\cos\beta(w_2^1(e_1))^2 + \beta_{11} + 2(\tau+\beta_1)(2\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta\\ &\quad +(\kappa - 4\tau^2)\sin\beta\cos\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

At the end of this section, we shall derive some identities which will be useful in the next section. From (10) and (15), we have $\beta_1(2H+\beta_2) = \beta_2(2\tau+\beta_1)$, that is

$$\tau\beta_2 = H\beta_1. \tag{17}$$

According to Lemma 2.1, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{12} &= e_2e_1\beta = [e_2,e_1]\beta + \beta_{21} = \nabla_{e_2}e_1\beta - \nabla_{e_1}e_2\beta + \beta_{21}\\ &= (2\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta\beta_2 - (2H+\beta_2)\tan\beta\beta_1 + \beta_{21} = \beta_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

By (17), if H is a constant, we have $\tau\beta_{21} = H\beta_{11}$ and $\beta_1\beta_{21} = \beta_2\beta_{11}$. Then a similar way shows that

$$\beta_{112} = e_2e_1(\beta_1) = [e_2,e_1]\beta_1 + \beta_{121} = \nabla_{e_2}e_1\beta_1 - \nabla_{e_1}e_2\beta_1 + \beta_{121} = \beta_{121}.$$

Thus by (17) again, for the later use, we deduce that

$$\beta_{12}=\beta_{21}=(H/\tau)\beta_{11},\quad \beta_{22}=(H/\tau)\beta_{12}=(H/\tau)\beta_{21}=(H/\tau)^2\beta_{11} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\beta_{122}=(H/\tau)\beta_{112}=(H/\tau)\beta_{121}=(H/\tau)^2\beta_{111}. \tag{19}$$

3. Simons' type formula for cmc surafces

In this section we consider the tensor $\Phi:=A-HI$ with constant H. By Lemma 2.1 and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi|^2 &= |A|^2-2H^2=(2H+\beta_2)^2+2(\tau+\beta_1)^2+\beta_2^2-2H^2\\ &= 2(\tau+\beta_1)^2+2(H+\beta_2)^2=2[1+(H/\tau)^2](\tau+\beta_1)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

We now start to compute the Laplacian of $|\Phi|^2$. By using (17), (18) and (19), we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta|\Phi|^2 &= e_1e_1(|\Phi|^2)+e_2e_2(|\Phi|^2)-\nabla_{e_1}e_1|\Phi|^2-\nabla_{e_2}e_2|\Phi|^2\\ &= 4[1+(H/\tau)^2][\beta_{11}^2+(\tau+\beta_1)\beta_{111}+\beta_{12}^2+(\tau+\beta_1)\beta_{122}\\ &\quad +(2H+\beta_2)(\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta\beta_{12}+(2\tau+\beta_1)(\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta\beta_{11}]\\ &= 4[1+(H/\tau)^2]^2[\beta_{11}^2+(\tau+\beta_1)\beta_{111}+(2\tau+\beta_1)(\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta\beta_{11}]. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Next, we shall express β_{11} and β_{111} to the expressions involving in β_1. First, by Lemma 2.1 we have $2H+\beta_2=\cos\beta w_2^1(e_1)$ and thus we get

$$\beta_{22}=-\tan\beta\beta_2(2H+\beta_2)+\cos\beta e_2(w_2^1(e_1)).$$

So, the Codazzi equation (16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{11}+\beta_{22} &= -\tan\beta[(2H+\beta_2)\beta_2+(2H+\beta_2)^2+2(\tau+\beta_1)(2\tau+\beta_1)]\\ &\quad -(\kappa-4\tau^2)\sin\beta\cos\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Again, by using (17) and (19), the equation (22) becomes

$$[1+(H/\tau)^2]\beta_{11}=-2[1+(H/\tau)^2](\tau+\beta_1)(2\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta-(\kappa-4\tau^2)\sin\beta\cos\beta, \tag{23}$$

and taking the derivative by e_1 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} [1+(H/\tau)^2]\beta_{111} &= -2[1+(H/\tau)^2][(3\tau+2\beta_1)\beta_{11}\tan\beta+(\tau+\beta_1)(2\tau+\beta_1)\sec^2\beta\beta_1]\\ &\quad -(\kappa-4\tau^2)\cos(2\beta)\beta_1\\ &= 4[1+(H/\tau)^2](3\tau+2\beta_1)(\tau+\beta_1)(2\tau+\beta_1)\tan^2\beta+2(\kappa-4\tau^2)(3\tau+2\beta_1)\sin^2\beta\\ &\quad -2[1+(H/\tau)^2](\tau+\beta_1)(2\tau+\beta_1)\sec^2\beta\beta_1-(\kappa-4\tau^2)\cos(2\beta)\beta_1. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Substituting (23) and (24) into (21), by a straightforward computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta|\Phi|^2 &= 4[1+(H/\tau)^2]^2\Big\{\beta_{11}^2+2(\tau+\beta_1)^2(2\tau+\beta_1)[2(2\tau+\beta_1)\tan^2\beta-\beta_1]\Big\}\\ &\quad +4[1+(H/\tau)^2](\kappa-4\tau^2)(\tau+\beta_1)[4(\tau+\beta_1)\sin^2\beta-\beta_1\cos^2\beta]. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Lemma 3.1.

$$|\nabla A|^2=2[1+(H/\tau)^2]^2[\beta_{11}^2+4(\tau+\beta_1)^2(2\tau+\beta_1)^2\tan^2\beta]. \tag{26}$$

Proof. By (7), (18) and Lemma 2.1, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{11|1} &= e_1(2H+\beta_2) - h_{21}\theta_1^2(e_1) - h_{12}\theta_1^2(e_1) \\ &= \beta_{21} - 2(\tau+\beta_1)(2H+\beta_2)\tan\beta \\ &= (H/\tau)[\beta_{11} - 2(\tau+\beta_1)(2\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta]. \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation yields

$$h_{11|2} = (H/\tau)^2\beta_{11} + 2(\tau+\beta_1)(2\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta, \quad h_{12|1} = -\beta_{11} - 2(H/\tau)^2(\tau+\beta_1)(2\tau+\beta_1)\tan\beta$$

and the following identities hold true:

$$h_{12|2} = -h_{11|1} = -h_{22|1} \quad \text{and} \quad h_{12|1} = -h_{22|2}.$$

Thus by definition,

$$|\nabla A|^2 = h_{11|1}^2 + h_{11|2}^2 + h_{22|1}^2 + h_{22|2}^2 + 2(h_{12|1}^2 + h_{12|2}^2) = 2(2h_{11|1}^2 + h_{11|2}^2 + h_{12|1}^2),$$

and Eq. (26) follows by a straightforward computation. □

By (20), (25), (26) and $|\nabla\Phi|^2 = |\nabla A|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta|\Phi|^2 &= |\nabla\Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^2[|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2+\tau^2)] \\ &\quad +(\kappa-4\tau^2)\Big\{4|\Phi|^2\sin^2\beta - 2[1+(H/\tau)^2](2\tau+\beta_1)\beta_1\cos^2\beta\Big\} \\ &\quad +2(\kappa-4\tau^2)[1+(H/\tau)^2]\tau\beta_1\cos^2\beta \\ &= |\nabla\Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^2[|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2+\tau^2)] \\ &\quad +(\kappa-4\tau^2)[4|\Phi|^2\sin^2\beta - (|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2+\tau^2))\cos^2\beta] \\ &\quad +2(\kappa-4\tau^2)[1+(H/\tau)^2]\tau\beta_1\cos^2\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

We now deal with the last term in (27). Inspired by the computations in [13] and [18], we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $T := f_3 - \langle f_3, N\rangle N$ be the projection of the vertical vector field $f_3 = \xi$ on $\mathcal{W} \subset M$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|T|^2 - div(\nabla_T T) = 2\tau(\beta_1\cos^2\beta + 2\tau\sin^2\beta). \tag{28}$$

Proof. By (3), we can express $T = f_3 - \sin\beta e_3 = \cos\beta e_2$. Then we compute

$$\nabla_T T = \cos\beta\nabla_{e_2}(\cos\beta e_2) = -\sin\beta\cos\beta[\beta_2 e_2 + (2\tau+\beta_1)e_1] = -(1/2)\sin(2\beta)(\nabla\beta + 2\tau e_1).$$

Noticing that

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|T|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\cos^2\beta) = -\cos(2\beta)|\nabla\beta|^2 - \sin\beta\cos\beta\Delta\beta,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} div(\nabla_T T) &= \langle\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_T T, e_i\rangle = -\cos(2\beta)(|\nabla\beta|^2 + 2\tau\beta_1) - \sin\beta\cos\beta(\Delta\beta + 2\tau\langle\nabla_{e_i}e_1, e_i\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta|T|^2 - 2\tau(\beta_1\cos^2\beta + 2\tau\sin^2\beta), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (28). □

By (27), (28) and noticing that the contact function is $C = \sin\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\Phi|^2 - (\kappa-4\tau^2)[1+(H/\tau)^2]\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Delta|T|^2 - div(\nabla_T T)\Big)\\
=\;& |\nabla\Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^2[|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2+\tau^2)]\\
&+(\kappa-4\tau^2)[4|\Phi|^2\sin^2\beta - (|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2+\tau^2))\cos^2\beta]\\
&-4(\kappa-4\tau^2)(H^2+\tau^2)\sin^2\beta\\
=\;& |\nabla\Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^2[|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2+\tau^2)]\\
&+(\kappa-4\tau^2)[|\Phi|^2(5C^2-1) - 2(H^2+\tau^2)(3C^2-1)]. \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

Although the above formula is derived on $\mathcal{W}\subset M$, it can be extended to the whole M since the complimentary $\mathcal{W}^C$ has empty interior.

Remark 3.3. *The Berger sphere is the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ endowed with the metric*

$$\langle X, Y\rangle = \frac{4}{\kappa}\Big[\langle X,Y\rangle_{\mathbb{S}^3} + \Big(\frac{4\tau^2}{\kappa}-1\Big)\langle X,\xi\rangle_{\mathbb{S}^3}\langle Y,\xi\rangle_{\mathbb{S}^3}\Big],$$

where $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{\mathbb{S}^3}$ stands for the standard metric on $\mathbb{S}^3$, $\kappa>0$ and $\tau\neq 0$. Although in this paper we assume $\kappa\neq 4\tau^2$, the formula (29) still holds for $\kappa = 4\tau^2$. By taking $\kappa = 4\tau^2 = 4c > 0$, the sphere is the Riemannian space form $\mathbb{S}^3(c)$ with constant sectional curvature c. In this case, (29) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\Phi|^2 = |\nabla\Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^2[|\Phi|^2 - 2(H^2+c)],$$

which is the well known formula in [15] *by observing that $tr\Phi^3 = 0$ for surfaces.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1: The Simons' type formula (1) is a direct consequence by integrating (29) on M, no matter whether M is orientable or not. The equality holds if and only if $|\nabla A| = |\nabla\Phi| = 0$, and this implies that $\sin\beta = 0$ from (23) and (26). Therefore, the vertical Killing vector field of the fibration $\Pi : E(\kappa,\tau)\to\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ is tangent to M, so $\Pi(M)$ is a closed curve γ in S^2 and then $M = \Pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is the Hopf cylinder in $E(\kappa,\tau)$. In this case, $|A|^2 = 2(2H^2+\tau^2)$ follows from (20) immediately. □

Remark 3.4. *The authors in* [6] *proved that the Hopf cylinder is the only surface in $E(\kappa,\tau)$ ($\tau\neq 0$) with parallel second fundamental form. This fact can be used to prove the case of the equality in Theorem 1.1 instead of using Eqs. (23) and (26).*

As an application, we shall use Theorem 1.1 to give a pinching result of the second fundamental form. Observe that in the case of $\kappa > 4\tau^2$, the equation

$$x^2 - [2(H^2+\tau^2) + (\kappa-4\tau^2)(5C^2-1)]x + 2(\kappa-4\tau^2)(H^2+\tau^2)(3C^2-1) = 0$$

has two distinct real solutions:

$$x_1 = a(\kappa,\tau,H,C) := [2(H^2+\tau^2) + (\kappa-4\tau^2)(5C^2-1) - \sqrt{\rho}]/2$$

and

$$x_2 = b(\kappa,\tau,H,C) := [2(H^2+\tau^2) + (\kappa-4\tau^2)(5C^2-1) + \sqrt{\rho}]/2,$$

where

$$\rho = 4(H^2+\tau^2)^2 + 4(H^2+\tau^2)(\kappa-4\tau^2)(1-C^2) + (\kappa-4\tau^2)^2(5C^2-1)^2.$$

Obviously, it holds the relation $a(\kappa,\tau,H,C)\le H^2+\tau^2 < 2(H^2+\tau^2)\le b(\kappa,\tau,H,C)$. By Theorem 1.1 and the identity $|\Phi|^2 = |A|^2 - 2H^2$, we get immediately

Corollary 3.5. *Let $f : M \to E(\kappa,\tau)$ ($\kappa > 4\tau^2$ and $\tau \neq 0$) be an immersion of a compact cmc H-surface. If $a(\kappa,\tau,H,C) + 2H^2 \leq |A|^2 \leq b(\kappa,\tau,H,C) + 2H^2$, then M is a Hopf cylinder with $|A|^2 = 2(2H^2+\tau^2)$. In particular, if $3H^2+\tau^2 \leq |A|^2 \leq 2(2H^2+\tau^2)$, then M is a Hopf cylinder with $|A|^2 = 2(2H^2+\tau^2)$.*

Remark 3.6. *When $H = 0$, in the case of $\kappa > 4\tau^2$, the authors in* [13] *obtained that M is a Clifford torus in the Berger sphere under the assumption that $a(\kappa,\tau,0,C) \leq |A|^2 \leq b(\kappa,\tau,0,C)$, by observing the fact that the Clifford torus is the only minimal Hopf torus in the Berger sphere (see* [4] *or* [16]*). We also mention that there exist cmc (even minimal) tori which are not Hopf tori in the Berger sphere (see* [19]*).*

Remark 3.7. *Similar to the Remark 4.8 in* [13]*, the case of $\kappa < 4\tau^2$ for Corollary 3.5 is still open.*

References

[1] U. Abresch, H. Rosenberg, *A Hopf differential for constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$*, Acta Math. 193 (2004) 141-174.

[2] U. Abresch, H. Rosenberg, *Generalized Hopf differentials*, Mat. Contemp. 28 (2005) 1-28.

[3] B. Aebischer, et al. *Symplectic Geometry. An introduction based on the seminar in Bern*, 1992. Prog. Math., vol. 124, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1994.

[4] M. Barros and A. Ferrández, *Willmore energy estimates in conformal Berger spheres*, Chaos Solitons Fractals 44 (7) (2011) 515-521.

[5] A. Barros, E. Ribeiro, J. Silva, *Uniqueness of quasi-Einstein metrics on 3-dimensional homogeneous manifolds*, Diff. Geom. and its Appl. 35 (2014) 60-73.

[6] Mohamed Belkhelfa, Franki Dillen, and Jun-Ichi Inoguchi, *Surfaces with parallel second fundamental form in Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces, PDEs, submanifolds and affine differential geometry* (Warsaw, 2000), Banach Center Publ., vol. 57, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, (2002) 67-87.

[7] S. S. Chern, M. do Carmo, and S. Kobayashi, *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length*, Functional Analysis and Related Fields (Proc. Conf. for M. Stone, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1968), Springer, New York, (1970) 59-75.

[8] N. Cui, J. N. V. Gomes, *Rigidity of cmc surface in the Berger sphere*, Archiv der Mathematik, 104 (2015) 289-300.

[9] B. Daniel, *Isometric immersions into $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and applications to minimal surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (12) (2009) 6255-6282.

[10] B. Daniel, *Isometric immersions into 3-dimensional homogeneous manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. 82 (2007) 87-131.

[11] I. Fernández, P. Mira, *A characterization of constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. 25 (3) (2007) 281-289.

[12] J. N. V. Gomes, *The Gaussian curvature via the contact angle of immersed surfaces into the Euclidean three sphere*, Diff. Geom. and its Appl. 31 (2013) 691-697.

[13] Z. Hu, D. Lyu, J. Wang, *On rigidity phenomena of compact surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015) 3097-3109.

[14] William H. Meeks III and Joaquín Pérez, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in metric Lie groups, Geometric analysis: partial differential equations and surfaces*, Contemp. Math., vol. 570, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2012) 25-110.

[15] K. Nomizu, B. Smyth,*A formula of Simons' type and hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. 3 (1969) 367-377.

[16] U. Pinkall, *Hopf tori in $\mathbb{S}^3$*, Invent. Math. 81 (2) (1985) 379-386.

[17] R. Souam, E. Toubiana, *Totally umbilic surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. 84 (3) (2009) 673-704

[18] F. Torralbo, F. Urbano, *On the Gauss curvature of compact surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (7) (2010) 2561-2567.

[19] F. Torralbo, *Compact minimal surfaces in the Berger spheres*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 41 (2012) 391-405.

[20] F. Torralbo, *Rotationally invariant constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Diff. Geom. and its Appl. 28 (2010) 593-607.

[21] F. Torralbo, F. Urbano, *Compact stable constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 61 (3) (2012) 1129-1156.

address: School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, 610031, P.R.China.
E-mail address: ningweicui@gmail.com